REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application as amended.

No Claims have been amended, Claims 1-103 have been cancelled without prejudice.

Claims 104-138 have been added as New. Therefore, claims 104-138 are now presented

for examination.

35 U.S.C. § 102 and § 103 Rejections

Payton

The claims stand rejected under either 35 U.S.C. §102(b), as being anticipated by

or under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), as being obvious in view of Payton, U.S. Patent No.

5,790,935 ("Payton").

The new claims more clearly refer to a unique multi-stage process as described in

the specification. Such a process was alluded to in the first and second content

descriptors of Claim 1 and the details provided by Claim 88, for example. Note that in

new Claim 104, the further content descriptors are broadcast in response to the demand

data and that the following broadcasted content corresponds to some of the further

content descriptors.

Payton does not use such a multi stage approach. While the system receives

feedback, the selections are made in a single cycle of send and receive.

In addition, the new claims clarify that the content descriptors include a portion of

the corresponding content.

In Payton, it would appear that only lists are sent to the viewer. There is no

suggestion that the lists include a portion of the content. As further clarified in Claim 2, a

portion of the content would be in the form of a clip, a short, or a trailer.

Docket No: 42P11866

Application No.: 09/882,485

9

As a further distinction, in, for example, Claim 132, the broadcasted data is selectively stored according to a demand data table at the client. In Payton, all of the transmitted data is stored because the server determines what will and will not be stored.

These changes clearly distinguish the present invention from the cited references and accordingly, Applicants submit that the rejections are, respectively, traversed.

Conclusion

In light of the foregoing, reconsideration and allowance of the claims is hereby earnestly requested.

Docket No: 42P11866 Application No.: 09/882,485

Invitation for a Telephone Interview

The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at (303) 740-1980 if there remains any issue with allowance of the case.

Request for an Extension of Time

Applicant respectfully petitions for an extension of time to respond to the outstanding Office Action pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) should one be necessary. Please charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 to cover the necessary fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a) for such an extension.

Charge our Deposit Account

Please charge any shortage to our Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: September 29, 2008

Gordon R. Lindeen Reg. No. 33,192

1279 Oakmead Parkway Sunnyvale, California 94085-4040 (303) 740-1980

Docket No: 42P11866 Application No.: 09/882,485